

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Gilad Israel Elbaz et al. Art Unit : 2129
Patent No. : 7,925,610 Examiner : Peter D. Coughlan
Issue Date : April 12, 2011 Conf. No. : 2189
Serial No. : 10/690,328
Filed : October 21, 2003
Title : DETERMINING A MEANING OF A KNOWLEDGE ITEM USING
DOCUMENT BASED INFORMATION.

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO DECISION ON REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PATENT TERM
ADJUSTMENT AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO ISSUE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

In a Decision on Request for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment and Notice of Intent to Issue Certificate of Correction (“Decision”) dated July 18, 2011, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“Office”) granted to the extent indicated herein Patentees’ Application For Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(d) filed June 13, 2011, for the above-indicated patent. The Office agreed to adjust a period of time corresponding to Applicant Delay, for a total Applicant Delay of 431 days. The Office did not agree that “B Delay” should not be reduced by 127 days for the period of time under which the application was not undergoing continued examination.

The Office Incorrectly Excluded a Period of Time from B Delay that Does Not Correspond to
Time Consumed by Continued Examination

The Decision stated that the patent is entitled to 272 days of PTA. Patentees maintain that the patent is entitled to 399 days of PTA, for the reasons provided below.

“A Delays” are defined as delays by the Office under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(A), which guarantees prompt response by the Office. It is Patentees’ understanding that there is no dispute that there was one period of A Delay (December 22, 2004, to May 25, 2006) for a total of 510 days.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EFS-WEB FILING

I hereby certify that this paper was filed with the Patent and Trademark Office using the EFS-WEB system on this date: August 18, 2011.

“B Delays” are defined as delays by the Office under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(B), which guarantees no more than three year application pendency. Patentees submit that B Delay accumulated for a total of 1,634 days, beginning on October 22, 2006 (the day after the date that is three years after the date on which the application was filed), and ending April 12, 2011 (the date the patent was issued). The Office has excluded from B Delay the number of days corresponding to the period beginning on April 23, 2007 (the date on which a Request for Continued Examination was first filed), and ending on April 12, 2011 (the date the patent was issued). However, this entire period should not be excluded from B Delay because it does not correspond in its entirety to continued examination. The Notice of Allowance Action mailed on December 7, 2010, closed examination of the application on that date. Section 154(b)(1)(B)(i) of Title 35 excludes from B Delay “time consumed by continued examination of the application.” The statute does not provide for exclusion from B Delay of time from the mailing of a Notice of Allowance until issuance (a period during which continued examination did not occur).

The legal issue presented in the preceding paragraph is currently the subject of a civil action against the Office in Abbott Biotherapeutics Corp. v. Kappos, 1:2010cv01853 (D.D.C. filed October 29, 2010). The plaintiff in Abbott Biotherapeutics Corp. v. Kappos has argued that the Office improperly calculated the length of the statutory delay period defined by 35 USC 154(b)(1)(B) by subtracting from the delay period the number of days from the mailing of a Notice of Allowance until issuance (a period during which continued examination did not occur). In the event that the Office is unwilling to increase PTA for the present patent in the manner requested herein, Patentees request that a final decision on this petition be held in abeyance pending the final resolution in Abbott Biotherapeutics Corp. v. Kappos. A decision in Abbott Biotherapeutics Corp. v. Kappos will likely settle the legal issue that is central to the present petition.

Applicant : Gilad Israel Elbaz et al.
Patent No. : 7,925,610
Issued : April 12, 2011
Serial No. : 10/690,328
Filed : October 21, 2003
Page : 3 of 3

Attorney's Docket No.: 16113-0328001

Please apply the \$200 petition fee and any other charges or credits to Deposit Account
No. 06-1050, referencing Attorney Docket No. 16113-0328001.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 18, 2011

/Xin Ma/

Xin Ma

Reg. No. 57,555

Fish & Richardson P.C.
Customer Number 26192
Telephone: (650) 839-5070
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945